

International application No. PCT/AU03/00400

A.	CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTE	R						
Int. Cl. 7:	A61B 17/00, A61F 2/26							
According to	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC							
В.	FIELDS SEARCHED							
Minimum docu	nmentation searched (classification system followed l	oy classification symbols)						
Documentation	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched							
Electronic data base consuited during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) DWPI, PubMED keywords: phallus, penis, penile, lengthen, widen, enlarge, augmentation, phalloplasty, ligament, corpus, cavernosum, penoplasty, micropenis, treatment, exercise, dressing, bath, sterile, wrap, regime, fundiform and similar terms								
c .	DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVA	NT	<u> </u>					
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where		appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.					
Y Internet <url:http: document.<="" td="" www.alternd.com="" x=""><td>chive.org>) is 5 May 1998 Retrieved from the inhancement/girth.htm> See the whole</td><td>1-3 $15-25$ $28-32,35$ $1-3$</td></url:http:>		chive.org>) is 5 May 1998 Retrieved from the inhancement/girth.htm> See the whole	1-3 $15-25$ $28-32,35$ $1-3$					
X, Y Y X	"Penis Lengthening Surgery – Questions a 2003), earliest known publication date (vid January 1997 Retrieved from the Internet < See the whole document.	a <url:http: www.archive.org="">) is 30 EURL:http://www.psurg.com/PL4QA.htm></url:http:>	15 – 25 28 – 32, 35					
X F	urther documents are listed in the continuation	on of Box C X See patent family annex	X .					
"A" Docume which is relevance "E" earlier a after the	pplication or patent but published on or "X" international filing date	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family						
claim(s) publicati special r "O" Docume exhibitio "P" Docume	nt which may throw doubts on priority "Y" or which is cited to establish the ion date of another citation or other eason (as specified) nt referring to an oral disclosure, use, on or other means nt published prior to the international te but later than the priority date claimed							
Date of the actua	al completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report	7 JUL 2003					
1 July 2003	ng oddrars of the ISA/AIT	Authorized officer	, 305 2003					
Name and mailing acdress of the ISA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		VINCE BAGUSAUSKAS Telephone No: (02) 6283 2110						

International application No.

PCT/AU03/00400

ESD. "The Perfect Penis in about an Hour" (online) (retrieved 14 May 2003), st known publication date (via <url:http: www.archive.org="">) is 3 March 2001 eved from the Internet <url:http: humber99.html="" www.psurg.com=""> See the whole ment. 3864/98 (742359) B (MOORE) 3 January 2002 see claims astant application claims an earlier priority from this document. However this on claims an earlier priority from another application by the same applicant, ting that the application from which priority is claimed may not be the first action for the invention concerned. It casts doubt that claim 15 in this instance is a claim a priority from 3 April 2002 in this instance. ER G.J. "Penile Enlargement Surgery". Techniques in Urology, 1998, Vol 4, No. 2, 1976 age 71 col 1; page 72 col 2; page 74 col 1; page 75 col 2 ER G.J. "Augmentation Phalloplasty". Urologic clinics of North America, 1995, 2, No. 4, pp887-902 age 890 col 1; page 895 col 2; page 896 col 1; Fig 9; page 898 col 1; page 901 col 1</url:http:></url:http:>	
st known publication date (via <url:http: www.archive.org="">) is 3 March 2001 eved from the Internet <url:http: humber99.html="" www.psurg.com=""> See the whole ment. 3864/98 (742359) B (MOORE) 3 January 2002 he claims astant application claims an earlier priority from this document. However this on claims an earlier priority from another application by the same applicant, ting that the application from which priority is claimed may not be the first action for the invention concerned. It casts doubt that claim 15 in this instance is a claim a priority from 3 April 2002 in this instance. 38684/98 (742359) B (MOORE) 3 January 2002 388 G.J. "Penile Enlargement Surgery is claimed may not be the first action for the invention concerned. It casts doubt that claim 15 in this instance is a claim a priority from 3 April 2002 in this instance. 38864/98 (742359) B (MOORE) 3 January 2002 388 G.J. "Penile Enlargement Surgery is claimed may not be the first action for the invention concerned. It casts doubt that claim 15 in this instance is a claim a priority from 3 April 2002 in this instance. 38864/98 (742359) B (MOORE) 3 January 2002 388 G.J. "Penile Enlargement Surgery". Techniques in Urology, 1998, Vol 4, No. 2, 1997. 389 G.J. "Augmentation Phalloplasty". Urologic clinics of North America, 1995, 1998, No. 4, pp887-902 389 G.J. "Augmentation Phalloplasty". Urologic clinics of North America, 1995, 1998, No. 4, pp887-902 389 G.J. "Page 895 Col 2; page 896 col 1; Fig 9; page 898 col 1; page 901 col 1</url:http:></url:http:>	15 - 25 28 - 35 1 - 3 26 9, 10, 13
estant application claims an earlier priority from this document. However this on claims an earlier priority from another application by the same applicant, ting that the application from which priority is claimed may not be the first ation for the invention concerned. It casts doubt that claim 15 in this instance is a claim a priority from 3 April 2002 in this instance. 2R G.J. "Penile Enlargement Surgery". Techniques in Urology, 1998, Vol 4, No. 2, 1976 age 71 col 1; page 72 col 2; page 74 col 1; page 75 col 2 2R G.J. "Augmentation Phalloplasty". Urologic clinics of North America, 1995, 2, No. 4, pp887-902 age 890 col 1; page 895 col 2; page 896 col 1; Fig 9; page 898 col 1; page 901 col 1	26 9, 10, 13
on claims an earlier priority from another application by the same applicant, ting that the application from which priority is claimed may not be the first lation for the invention concerned. It casts doubt that claim 15 in this instance is claim a priority from 3 April 2002 in this instance. ER G.J. "Penile Enlargement Surgery". Techniques in Urology, 1998, Vol 4, No. 2, 1976 age 71 col 1; page 72 col 2; page 74 col 1; page 75 col 2 ER G.J. "Augmentation Phalloplasty". Urologic clinics of North America, 1995, 2, No. 4, pp887-902 age 890 col 1; page 895 col 2; page 896 col 1; Fig 9; page 898 col 1; page 901 col 1	
2. No. 4, pp887-902 age 890 col 1; page 895 col 2; page 896 col 1; Fig 9; page 898 col 1; page 901 col 1	1 - 3, 28 - 35
RG.J. "Augmentation Phalloplasty". Urologic clinics of North America, 1995, 2. No. 4, pp887-902 age 890 col 1;page 895 col 2; page 896 col 1; Fig 9; page 898 col 1; page 901 col 1	1 – 3, 28 – 35
2. No. 4, pp887-902 age 890 col 1;page 895 col 2; page 896 col 1; Fig 9; page 898 col 1; page 901 col 1	1 – 3, 28 – 35
F F. and EL-SAKKA A.I. "Lengthening Shortened Penis Caused by Peyronie's See Using Circular Venous Grafting and Daily Stretching with a Vacuum Erection 2". Journal of Urology, April 1999, Vol 161, pp 1141 – 1144 age 1141 col 1; page 1142 col 1; page 1143 col 1; page 1144 col 1.	15, 16, 17, 25 28 – 35
ONI E. and GUARNERI A. and CAZZANIGA A. "A New Technique for entation Phalloplasty: Albugineal Surgery with Bilateral Saphenous Graft –Three of Experience". European Urology, 2002 Vol 42, pp 245 – 253 age 249 col 1	28 – 32, 35
of the claims do not appear to be entitled to the priority date of the instant ation. This citation was published before the filing date.	
ONI E. and GUARNERI A. and GATTI G. "Penile elongation and thickening – Is there a cosmetic or medical indication?", Andrologia, 1999, 31 (Suppl, 1) pp 45	28 – 34
DNG L. and XUAN Z. and ZHENGXIANG W. and DONGLI F. and JULONG d DONGYUN Y. "Modified Penis Lengthening Surgery: Review of 52 Cases", and Reconstructive Surgery, February 2000, pp 596 – 599 ge 599	29 – 32, 35
3 dd	ONI E. and GUARNERI A. and GATTI G. "Penile elongation and thickening – Is there a cosmetic or medical indication?", Andrologia, 1999, 31 (Suppl, 1) pp 45 age 47; page 50 col 1 ONG L. and XUAN Z. and ZHENGXIANG W. and DONGLI F. and JULONG I DONGYUN Y. "Modified Penis Lengthening Surgery: Review of 52 Cases", and Reconstructive Surgery, February 2000, pp 596 – 599

International application No.

PCT/AU03/00400

ategory*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to
	o.maon oz dosamon, mar de appropri	çlaim No.
P, X, Y	AU 79900/98 (760083) B (MOORE) 8 May 2003	15 - 25, 2
P, Y	See the claims	26
L	This citation gains its priority from 13 August 1997. It is an earlier patent by the same applicant, indicating that the application from which priority is claimed may not be the first application for the invention concerned. It casts doubt that claim 15 in this instance is able to claim a priority from 3 April 2002 in the instant application.	
Y	RIGAUD G. and BERGER R.E. "Corrective Procedures for Penile Shortening due to Peyronies's Disease", The Journal of Urology, February 1995, Vol 153, pp368 – 370 See page 369 col 1	15 - 18
:	·	
·		
	·	1.10



International application No.

PCT/AU03/00400

because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.	Box I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Claims Nos: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claims Nos: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:		-
Claims Nos: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be caused out, specifically: Claims Nos: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:	1.	Claims Nos:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be caused out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be caused out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be caused out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be caused out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claims Nos : because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet	2.	<u>-</u>
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:		
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:	•	
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:		
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:		
Box IT Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:	3.	Claims Nos:
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:		·
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See the Supplemental Sheet As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	Box II	
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:		
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:		
searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	SCC II	ne Supplemental Sheet
searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
searchable claims As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	1.	
report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	2.	
report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:	3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	,	
The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	1 .	
temark on Protest		
temark on Protest		<u>.</u>
	Remark o	on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.		No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

International application No.

PCT/AU03/00400

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of Boxes I to VIII is not sufficient)

Continuation of Box No: II

The international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In coming to this conclusion the International Searching Authority has found that there are different inventions as follows:

- 1. Claims 1 to 3, 9, 10, 13 at least are directed to a method of widening a penis. It is considered that the use of a dermal graft sutured to the exposed Bucks fascia and then reducing the penile skin comprises a first "special technical feature".
- Claims 4 to 8, 12 at least are directed to a method of lengthening the penis. It is considered that dividing the suspensory ligament against the body of the symphysis pubis down to the inferior pubic arch and along the inferior surface of both the right and left conjoined inferior pubic rami comprises a second "special technical feature".
- 3. Claims 15 to 20, 27 at least are directed to a method of enhancement phalloplasty. It is considered that freeing the dorsal neurovascular bundle and separating the corpus spogiosum from the inferior surface of both said first and said second corpus cavernosum comprises a third "special technical feature."
- 4. Claims 28 to 33 are directed to a method of penile enlargement. It is considered that the post operative treatment regime following penile enlargement, whether exercise drug or other, comprises a fourth "special technical feature".

The feature common to all of the claims is the use of a post operative treatment regime. However this common feature is generic in the art: see for example a partially completed search has found the following Internet documents;

http://www.altermd.com/penhancement/girth.htm published 5 May 1998

http://www.psurg.com/PL4QA.htm published 30 January 1997

http://www.psurg.com/humber99.html published 3 March 2001

Consequently the common feature does not constitute "a special technical feature" within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, since it makes no contribution over the prior art. Since there exists no other common feature which can be considered as a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, no technical relationship within the meaning of PCT Rule 13 between the different inventions can be seen. Consequently it appears that a posteriori, the claims do not satisfy the requirement of unity of invention.



International application No.

PCT/AU03/00400

Information on patent family members

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

	t Document Cited in Search Report			·
AU	79900/98	NONE		
AU	54864/98	NONE		
			E	ND OF ANNEX